| Table 4‑7. Studies of 6PPD and 6PPD‑q concentrations in outdoor air |
| --- |
| Location | Information | Concentration (pg/m3) | Lab Instrumentation | Detection Limit |
| [Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c07376)(Cao et al. 2022) | Between September 2020 and August 2021, researchers collected 16 samples and analyzed them for a range of antioxidants and transformation products, including 6PPD and 6PPD‑q. | The concentrations of 6PPD and 6PPD‑q in air were found to be [median (range)]:6PPD: 1.78 (0.82–6.30)6PPD‑q: 1.18 (0.54–13.8) | UPLC Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry and UHPLC Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry | IQL (ng/mL):6PPD: 0.0356PPD‑q: 0.023 |
| [Global megacities](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120206)(Johannessen et al. 2022) | Researchers measured a range of antioxidants and transformation products, including 6PPD and 6PPD‑q in archived extracts collected at representative sites (away from major roadways) on PUF disks from passive air samplers deployed across 18 major cities that compose the GAPS Network (GAPS-Megacities) during a three-month period in 2018. | The concentrations of 6PPD and 6PPD‑q in ambient air were found to be [mean (range)]:6PPD: (ND–<LOQ)6PPD‑q: 0.85 (ND–1.75) | UPLC Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS | IQL (ng/mL):6PPD: 1.956PPD‑q: 0.122MQL (pg/m3)6PPD: 2.716PPD‑q: 0.169 |
| [Guangzhou and Taiyuan, China](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02463) (Wang et al. 2022) | Between May 2017 and April 2018, researchers collected 72 samples of PM2.5 from air at three sites. Each site was sampled 24 times, and samples were analyzed for a range of antioxidants and TPs, including 6PPD and 6PPD‑q. | The concentrations of 6PPD and 6PPD‑q in air (PM2.5 fraction) were found to be [median (range)]:6PPD:Guangzhou: 1,820 (22.2–6,050)Roadside in Guangzhou: 4,040 (2.23–9,340)Taiyuan: 81 (1.02–3,190)6PPD‑q:Guangzhou: 1,100 (3.04–2,350)Roadside in Guangzhou: 2,810 (2.96–7,250)Taiyuan: 744 (2.44–1,780) | ESI / Ultrahigh-resolution Orbitrap MS / triple quadrupole MS | MQL (pg/m3):6PPD: 0.256PPD‑q: 0.08MDL (pg/m3):6PPD: 0.076PPD‑q: 0.02 |
| [Guangzhou, Hangzhou, Nanjing, Shanghai, Taiyuan, and Zhengzhou, China](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.1c04500)(Zhang et al. 2022) | Researchers collected 81 samples of PM2.5 from ambient air in six megacities in China between 2018 and 2019 and analyzed them for a range of antioxidants and TPs, including 6PPD and 6PPD‑q. | The concentrations of 6PPD and 6PPD‑q in air (PM2.5 fraction) were found to be [median (range)]:6PPD:Guangzhou: 0.9 (0.3–10)Hangzhou: 4.6 (0.1–6.0)Nanjing: 2.1 (0.4–75)Shanghai: 4.4 (0.5–135)Taiyuan: 6.9 (0.02–487)Zhengzhou: 8.4 (1.2–109)6PPD‑q:Guangzhou: 1.7 (0.1–15)Hangzhou: 6.7 (0.8–26)Nanjing: 2.3 (1.1–68)Shanghai: 5.9 (0.3–39)Taiyuan: 3.3 (1.1–84)Zhengzhou: 2.9 (0.3–32) | UHPLC-MS/MS | LOD (pg/mL):6PPD: 16PPD‑q: 5 |
| [United States and Canada](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.0c04114?ref=pdf)(Wu, Venier, and Hites 2020) | In 2016, researchers collected 21 e-waste dust samples in an e-waste dismantling facility in Ontario, Canada. Residential samples were collected in Ontario, Canada in 2015 (n=20) and in Indiana, United States, in 2013 (n=12). In 2013, 10 sediment samples were collected in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. From 2018 to 2019, 20 air samples were collected in Chicago. Samples were tested for various antioxidants and ultraviolet filters, including 6PPD. 6PPD was detected in 100% of the e-waste dust samples, and in 70%–75% of all other sampled media. | The concentrations of 6PPD were found to be [median (range)]:Air particles (particle size not specified): 0.06 (<MDL–0.41) | LC-MS/MS | MDL:Air: 0.02 pg/m3Dust and sediment: 0.06 ng/g |
| [Oxford, Mississippi](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-023-03820-7) (Olubusoye et al. 2023) | Researchers used passive samplers for 10 days along U.S. Highway 278. The abundance of airborne TWPs increased with proximity to the road with deposition rates (TWPs cm2/day) of 23, 47, and 63 at 30 m, 15 m, and 5 m from the highway, respectively. Two common TWP compounds (6PPD‑q and 4-ADPA) were detected in all samples, except the field blank, at levels above their limits of detection, estimated at 2.90 and 1.14 ng/L−1, respectively. | 6PPD‑q detected in all samples above the limit of detection |  UHPLC-HRMS | LOD:6PPD: 2.136PPD‑q: 2.90 |

Notes: 4‑ADPA=4‑aminodiphenylamine, ESI= electrospray ionization, GAPS=Global Atmospheric Passive Sampling, HRMS=high-resolution mass spectrometry, IQL=instrument quantification limit, LC-MS/MS=liquid chromatography / tandem mass spectrometry, LOD=limit of detection, LOQ=limit of quantitation, MDL=method detection limit, MQL=method quantification limit, ND=nondetect, ng/g=nanogram per gram, MS=mass spectrometry, ng/L-nanograms per liter, ng/mL=nanogram per milliliter, pg/m3=picograms per cubic meter, PM2.5=fine particulate matter; inhalable particles with diameters generally 2.5 micrometers and smaller, pg/m3=picograms per cubic meter, PUF=polyurethane foam, TWP=tire-wear particles, UHPLC=ultra-high–performance liquid chromatography, UHPLC=ultra-high–performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, UPLC=ultra-performance liquid chromatography
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